

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/058,057	01/29/2002	Moon Jae Lee	PO259/US/PS	3671
75	590 02/09/2004		EXAMINER	
McGuireWoods LLP Suite 1800			DEL SOLE, JOSEPH S	
1750 Tysons Bo	oulevard		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
McLean, VA 22102			1722	
			DATE MAILED: 02/09/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, e . k	Application No.	Applicant(s)	\
	10/058,057	LEE, MOON JAE	\bigcirc ()
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Joseph S. Del Sole	1722	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mmunication:
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 De	ecember 2003.		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This a	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	ice except for formal matters, pro x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	secution as to the 3 O.G. 213.	merits is
Disposition of Claims		•	
 4) Claim(s) 1,2,10-17 and 19-27 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) 1,2 and 19-27 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 10 and 11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 12-17 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers		•	
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce		Evaminer	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti			R 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic reference was included in the first sentence of the second sec	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received in Application (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not received priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(ast sentence of the specification of the visional application has been received priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	on Noed in this National ed. e) (to a provisional in an Application eeived. and/or 121 since	application) Data Sheet a specific
Attachment(s)	_		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4)		
	-		

Art Unit: 1722

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure.

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative.

The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art.

Where applicable, the abstract should include the following:

- (1) if a machine or apparatus, its organization and operation;
- (2) if an article, its method of making;
- (3) if a chemical compound, its identity and use;
- (4) if a mixture, its ingredients;
- (5) if a process, the steps.

Extensive mechanical and design details of apparatus should not be given.

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because **a)** it currently summarizes both the method and the apparatus, however since only the apparatus is claimed the abstract should be amended to reflect this. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Objections

3. Claims 10 and 13 are objected to because of the following informalities: a) claim 10 is awkwardly constructed and should be rewritten (the format/style of claim 19 is

Art Unit: 1722

clear and should be copied when rewriting claim 10), for instance: the phrase "receives synthetic resin installed at an outlet of an extruder so that it is to be fed to the extruder and then extruded in a product" is awkward; the phrase "the die includes a second inlet is formed" is grammatically incorrect; and "to fed a" at line 7 should be changed to — to feed —; and b) the limitation "the plurality of nozzle grooves in fluid communication are in communication" is awkwardly constructed because a plurality of nozzle grooves is not previously claimed in claim 13 or parent claim 11 (perhaps the dependency of claim 13 should be changed to claim 12); also "in fluid communication" should be deleted for clarity. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- 5. Claims 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Grosset et al (5,733,491).

Grosset et al teach an extrusion molding apparatus (Fig 2) having an extruder (Fig 2) and a die with a passage (Fig 2, #82, #38, #36, #40 and #42); the die receives material installed at an outlet of the extruder (Fig 2) so that it is to be fed to the extruder and then extruded in a product having a predetermined section shape of the material passage (Fig 6), the die includes a second inlet (Fig 2, #13) is formed at one side of the die to feed a second material thereto, and the a second inlet is formed to communicate

with a second passage (Fig 2, #72, #76 and #30) in communication with the material passage of the die so that the second material is coated on a surface of the product extruded from the die; and the second passage of the die is formed around the material passage so that the whole surface of the product is coated (Fig 6, as demonstrated by the product).

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 12/19/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Applicant argues that Grosset fails to teach each and every element as set forth in claim 10.

The Examiner disagrees and a proper 35USC102 rejection is stated above that shows that Grosset teaches each and every element of claim 10. The Applicant does not distinctly state what element of claim 10 is not taught and further does not show how a feature of Grosset does not teach a corresponding feature of claim 10 as set forth by the Examiner.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 1-2 and 19-27 are allowed.
- 8. Claims 12-17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims and if the objections to claims 10 and 13 as set forth above are corrected.

Application/Control Number: 10/058,057

Art Unit: 1722

9. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record fails to teach or suggest **a**) the die, as set forth in claim 1, having a first portion with a groove and a second portion with a corresponding groove to form a passageway and a second inlet in the die in communication with the passageway to feed a second material to a second inlet to form a coated product; **b**) the second passage, as set forth in claim 1, having a plurality of nozzle grooves in communication with the second passage; and **c**) an extrusion apparatus, as set forth in claim 19, having a retainer groove in fluid communication with the inlet portion and formed in the die and a plurality of nozzle grooves in fluid communication with the retainer groove and the first synthetic resin passage.

Page 5

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/058,057

Art Unit: 1722

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Joseph S. Del Sole whose telephone number is (571) 272-1130. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ms. Wanda Walker, can be reached at (571) 272-1151. The official fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306 for both non-after finals and for after finals.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

J.S.D.

January 29, 2004

ROBERT DAVIS PRIMARY EXAMINER

GROUP 1200 1700

Page 6

2/2/04